wherein the subscriber's SSP is operative, in response to routing of the communication, to make a query of the SCP with respect to the communication; and

wherein the SCP, in response to the query, is operative to cause the communication to be transferred to the different directory number.

- 17. (Amended) The method of Claim 16, wherein the action comprises an action other than receipt of a voice mail message from the caller (an other action).
- 26. (Amended) The VMS of Claim 24, wherein the action comprises an action other (an other action) than receipt of a voice mail message from the caller or the communication.

REMARKS

In the <u>non-final</u> Office Action mailed September 11, 2002, the Office Action rejected Claims 1-29. The Office Action rejected Claims 1-4, 6-12, 14-20, and 22-28 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,301,349 to *Malik* ("*Malik*"). Furthermore, the Office Action rejected Claims 5, 13, 21, and 29 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over *Malik* in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,148,069 to *Ekstrom et al.* ("*Eckstrom*").